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The rapidity and centrality dependence of baryon to meson ratios in $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}, \mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{Cu}$ and $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{N N}}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$ at RHIC is presented. The $\bar{p} / \pi^{-}$ratios are founded to be independent of collision system at a fixed $<N_{\text {part }}>$ at mid- and forward rapidities.

## 1. Introduction

One of the unexpected observations at RHIC was the enhancement of proton and antiproton yields relative to pion yields at intermediate $p_{T}$ around midrapidity [1], which was not seen in elementary hadronic collisions. An explanation for this behavior was proposed by the combination of pQCD calculations with soft physics and jet quenching [2]. It has been demonstrated that the fragmentation functions at large momentum fraction play an important role in hadron production [3]. Alternative models that attempt to describe these baryon to meson ratios include a phase-space determined parton coalescence picture [4,5], as well as the dynamics driven models of recombination [6], baryon-junction transport $[7,8]$, and hydrodynamic flow [9]. Central $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}$ collisions are expected to provide a partonic medium where the coalescence of soft partons can occur. Coalescence will depend on the system size of the partonic fluid and is expected to be less influential for the $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{Cu}$ system. In this process, the $p / \pi$ ratios can provide important information on the dynamics of how the medium evolves longitudinally. The BRAHMS experiment $[10,11]$ has studied rapidity dependent baryon to meson ratios at $y=0$ and $\eta \sim 3.2$ for $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}$, $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{Cu}$, and $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{N N}}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$.

## 2. Results

The data were obtained with two movable BRAHMS spectrometer arms, the MidRapidity Spectrometer (MRS) at $90^{\circ}$, and the Forward Spectrometer (FS) at $4^{\circ}$, and global detectors for event characterization. Particle identification was done using time-of-flight and threshold gas Cherenkov measurements in the MRS, and a ring imaging Cherenkov detector (RICH) for the FS. Figure 1 shows the $\bar{p} / \pi^{-}$ratios as a function of $p_{T}$ obtained at midrapidity and forward rapidity for the $0-10 \%, 10-20 \%, 30-50 \%$ and $60-80 \%$ centrality bins in $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{N N}}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$. The data for the same energy $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p}$ collisions are also shown. The antiproton to $\pi^{-}$ratios show a smooth increase from peripheral to central collisions, and the data for peripheral $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}$ collisions approach the $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p}$ results. The centrality dependence is stronger at midrapidity than at
forward rapidity, and the peak in the $\bar{p} / \pi^{-}$ratio is lower at forward rapidity as compared to midrapidity. Theoretical model calculations for $p / \pi$ ratios are compared to the exper-


Figure 1. Centrality dependent $\bar{p} / \pi^{-}$ ratios at $y=0$ and $\eta \sim 3.2$ in $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{N N}}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$. Data at $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{N N}}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$ are also shown. No $\bar{\Lambda}$ feed-down correction applied. Error bars are statistical only.


Figure 2. Preliminary $p / \pi$ for $0-10 \%$ central $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}$ collisions at rapidity $y=0$ and $\eta \sim$ 3.2. Feed-down corrections are applied at $y=0$, but not at $\eta \sim 3.2$. Comparisons with model calculations at $y=0$ are shown by curves.
imental data in Fig. 2. Parton coalescence [4] and recombination [6] models describe the observed ratios well at midrapidity, but three-dimensional (3-D) hydrodynamic model [9] also reproduce the observed features of $p / \pi$ enhancement without depending on baryon junction or coalescence. In the 3-D hydrodynamic model, the interplay between soft and hard reaction components is expected to occur at intermediate $p_{T}$, and the model defines a crossing point in transverse momentum, $p_{T, \text { cross }}$, which might be related to the peaks evident in Fig. 1. The $p / \pi$ ratios are enhanced at forward rapidity, as shown in Fig. 2. Even though protons at high rapidity are expected to develop mostly from the projectile, rapidity dependent recombination and/or radial flow effects at the partonic level, or the inclusion of a varying baryo-chemical potential in the hydro calculations, will likely be
needed to fully understand the experimental observations.


Figure 3. $p / \pi$ ratios as a function of $p_{T}$ for different systems, $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}, \mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{Cu}$, and $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p}$ collisions at midrapidity and forward rapidity. No $\Lambda$ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ feed-down corrections applied, and the error bars are statistical only.

The $p / \pi$ ratios for different collision systems as a function of transverse momentum at $y=0$ and $\eta \sim 3.2$ are shown in Fig. 3. The proton to pion ratios in $p_{T}$ increase with the colliding system size from $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p}$ to $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}$ collisions. The peaks in the data, presumably related to crossing points in $p_{T}$, are at similar positions for $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{Cu}$ collisions at the same rapidity.

Figure 4 shows the centrality dependence of $\bar{p} / \pi^{-}$ratios as a function of the number of participating nucleons, $\left\langle N_{\text {part }}\right\rangle$, at different rapidities, $y=0$ and $\eta \sim 3.2$, for $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{Cu}$ systems at $\sqrt{s_{N N}}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$. The integrated $\bar{p} / \pi^{-}$ratios with $1.5<p_{T}<2.5$ are shown for each centrality bin. The data indicate that the particle ratios increase for both rapidities going to more central collisions up to $N_{\text {part }} \approx 100$, and show a weak dependence from the midcentral to the most central collisions. The $\bar{p} / \pi^{-}$ratios with $N_{\text {part }}$ from different colliding systems are independent of system size at both rapidities.

## 3. Summary

In summary, BRAHMS has measured rapidity dependent baryon to meson ratios for $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{Cu}$ at $\sqrt{s_{N N}}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$ as a function of $p_{T}$ and centrality. The ratios are enhanced in nucleus-nucleus collisions compared to $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{p}$ collisions and increase with centrality. Positive and negative ratios show similar interplay between soft and hard processes for different systems. The $\bar{p} / \pi^{-}$ratios for different colliding systems show a


Figure 4. Rapidity and $<N_{\text {part }}>$ dependence of $\bar{p} / \pi^{-}$ratios for $1.5<p_{T}<2.5$ at $y=0$ and $\eta \sim 3.2$ in $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{Cu}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{N N}}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$. Circle(closed) symbols are the results at $y=0$, and square(closed) symbols are the results at $\eta \sim 3.2$ in $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{Au}$ $(\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{Cu})$ collisions. The error bars are statistical only.
similar $<N_{\text {part }}>$ dependence at a fixed rapidity, and no significant changes for the baryon-meson production mechanism are observed with different beam species.
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