BRAHMS Identified Particle v₂(p_t,y) Analysis S. J. Sanders, U. Kansas



BRAHMS explores the longitudinal behavior of RHIC reactions. What changes going to forward rapidities?



From $\eta \sim 0$ to $\eta \sim 3$, $dN_{ch}/d\eta$ drops about 25%... ...and v_2 is reduced about 40%.

How does identified particle $v_2(p_T)$ change in going to forward angles?



Determining Reaction Plane with Multiple Detector Subsystems

$$\frac{d^{3}N}{2\pi p_{T}dp_{T}dyd\left(\phi-\Psi_{R}\right)} = \frac{d^{2}N}{2\pi p_{T}dy}\left(1+\sum_{n}2v_{n}\cos\left[n\left(\phi-\Psi_{R}\right)\right]\right)$$



Figure 1Detector geometry of the four azimuthally symmetry rings used in the integrated flow analysis.

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{n} = \left\langle \cos\left(n\left[\phi - \Psi_{R}\right]\right)\right\rangle$$

$$\Psi_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \frac{w_i \sin(n\phi_i)}{w_i \cos(n\phi_i)}$$

$$v'_{n} = \frac{\left\langle \cos\left(n\left[\phi - \Psi_{2}\right]\right)\right\rangle}{\text{ResCor}}$$

 $\operatorname{ResCor}(\operatorname{Detector} A) = \sqrt{\frac{\left\langle \cos\left(2\left[\Psi_{2}^{A}-\Psi_{2}^{B}\right]\right)\right\rangle \left\langle \cos\left(2\left[\Psi_{2}^{A}-\Psi_{2}^{C}\right]\right)\right\rangle}{\left(2\cos\left(2\left[\Psi_{2}^{A}-\Psi_{2}^{C}\right]\right)\right)}}$

$$\operatorname{pr}(\operatorname{Detector} A) = \sqrt{\frac{\left\langle \cos\left(2\left[\Psi_{2}^{A}-\Psi_{2}^{C}\right]\right)\right\rangle \left\langle \cos\left(2\left[\Psi_{2}^{A}-\Psi_{2}^{C}\right]\right)\right\rangle}{\left\langle \cos\left(2\left[\Psi_{2}^{B}-\Psi_{2}^{C}\right]\right)\right\rangle}}$$

Experimental correction factors found in good agreement with Monte Carlo simulations.

Avoiding Auto Correlations...

MRS Events



A restricted vertex range is used in the analysis to avoid auto correlations: z>-5 cm @ 90° and z>-20 cm @ 40° an 4°.

Precision measurements at mid-rapidity (not BRAHMS)



Protons and kaons show delayed onset of v_2 rise (consistent with hydrodynamic models)







°

Comparison of 4°, 40° and 90° settings.



No appreciable change observed above 1 GeV/c.

How do we understand the integral v₂ behavior? What else changes in going to forward rapidities?



Pions must drive the behavior.





0.005 0.005 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2 p_t (GeV/c)

Integral $v_2(90^\circ) = 0.040$ Integral $v_2(4^\circ) = 0.032$ **20% decrease**

Few models results available for particle identified

v2, but...



AMPT provides reasonable description with "string melting" near mid rapidity (m|<3)

Lie-Wen Chen, Vincenzo Greco, Che Ming Ko, Peter F. Kolb Phys. Lett. B, 605(2005); private communication.

Conclusions

v₂(p_T>1) for identified pions, kaons and protons remains relatively constant from y=0 to y=3.
A significant fraction of the falloff observed for the integral v₂ can be attributed to the y-dependence of <p_T>.